

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/553,132 | 10/14/2005 | Raymond Hesline | HESL0101PUSA | 1661 |
| Heslin Pty Ltd | 7590 03/26/201 | EXAMINER | | |
| 1/23 Monterey | Road | CHAPEL, DEREK S | | |
| Bilgola, New S AUSTRALIA | South Wales, 2107 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2872 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/26/2010 | DADER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) HESLINE, RAYMOND | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 10/553,132 | | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| DEREK S. CHAPEL | 2872 | | |
| | | | |

| | DEREK S. CHAPEL | 2872 | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. \[\textsize \text | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed | t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or | vhich places the r (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | on. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee te action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); | TE below); | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. | | | ne issues for | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. | · | | | | | |
| non-allowable claim(s). non-allowable claim(s). ror purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-20. | will not be entered, or b) will | • | _ | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but | | • | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | |
| /D. S. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2872 | /Arnel C. Lavarias/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2872 | | | | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

First, as stated previously in the advisory actions mailed 2/16/2010 and 3/12/2010, the claims have not been rejected under 35 USC 102(b). MPEP 2141.01 was cited to show the applicant that a reference used in an obviousness rejection (103) is eligible as prior art even if it is the applicant's own work as long as it meets the date requirements for 102(b). This is regardless of the fact that the reference may not read on all the claim limitations (anticipapted) to be used as a rejection under 102. The rejections of the claims are hereby maintained. For further outdance please refer to MPEP 2141.01, Specificality.

"A 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection is based on 35 U.S.C. 102(a), 102(b), 102(e), etc. depending on the type of prior art reference used and its publication or issue date. For instance, an obviousness rejection over a U.S. patent which was issued more that year before the filing date of the application is said to be a statutory bar just as if it anticipated the claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Analogously, an obviousness rejection based on a publication which would be applied under 102(a) if it anticipated the claims can be overcome by swearing behind the publication date of the reference by filing an affidavit or declaration under 37 CFR 1.131. For an overview of what constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102, see MPEP § 901 - § 901.0(d) and § 2121 - § 2129."

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecut the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot adi in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA.